

Klamath Wing Watchers
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>57</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10	_____		Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_____		Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_____		Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_____		Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10	_____		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>57</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 1,970.00

Wingwatches

Name of Applicant

marquez

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>1</u>	2	<u>2</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>47</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>3</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u> </u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u> </u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u> </u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u> </u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>55</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Not a real tourist draw, but a piece of our
tourism infrastructure.

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 1,970

Wingwathum: Brochure
Name of Applicant

Julie Van Moerhem
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>1</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>1</u>	2	<u>2</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>44</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>—</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>—</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>—</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>—</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		<u>—</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		<u>—</u>	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>44</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 1970

Wink Watchers Trail
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIE
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	10 <u>5</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	1	<u>5</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u>6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>47</u>	
<u>Add Preference Points</u>			
0-10		<u>5</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u>0</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>0</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u>5</u>	Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>			
-10		_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5		_____	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS		<u>57</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Partial funding: \$

1970.00

Wingwatchers, Inc.
Name of Applicant

Jessie Galloway
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>2</u>	5	<u>10</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	<u>10</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	<u>8</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 42

Add Preference Points

0-10	<u>0</u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	<u>0</u>	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	<u>0</u>	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	<u>10</u>	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 52

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ 1970