

Organization	Klamath Community Youth Sports Complex		
Project	Steen Sports Park		
Score Sheet Used	Traditional	Traditional	Traditional
Increase Tourism			360
Ability to Complete			190
Marketing Plan			90
Leverage Funding			420
Sustainable Marketing			400
Community Support			470
Evaluation Method			180
Sub-Total Points	0	0	2110
Preference Points:			
Shoulder Season			
Outlying Area			
Family Friendliness			
Average Score from Committee	0	0	422
Total Possible Score from Committee	525	525	525
Committee Comments			
Funding Requested:			\$ 25,000.00
Funding Recommended:			\$ 25,000.00
Total Amount Requested:	\$ 25,000.00		
Total Amount Recommended:	\$ 25,000.00	te=595	
Percentage Funded of Requested	100%		
Total Amount Allocated:	\$ 50,000.00		
Total Amount Recommended:	\$ 25,000.00		
Total Amount Remaining:	\$ 25,000.00		

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE FOREGOING DISTRIBUTION HEREBY IS APPROVED THIS 27 DAY OF October 2020.

CHAIR  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED  
*(Reused)*

*DR*  
 VICE-CHAIR  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED

*[Signature]*  
 COMMISSIONER  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED

Score	Weight	Points	My Score	Criteria
-------	--------	--------	----------	----------

(1-10)	10	100	90	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
<del>(1-5)</del>	<del>10</del>	<del>50</del>	<del>40</del>	<del>Will the project encourage additional overnight stays beyond the project event?</del>
(1-5)	5	25	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	5	25	15	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10)	10	100	80	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10)	10	100	80	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-5)	5	25	20	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support?
(1-10)	10	100	70	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
<b>Points</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>420</b>	

~~(0-50)~~ 25 Event held during the Shoulder Season - October through May

~~(0-10)~~ 0 Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

~~(0-10)~~ 10 Family Friendliness

Total 35

**Total Points 595 455**

Requested 25,000

Partial Funding yes

Steens Sports Park

Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	<u>4</u> X 5 =	<u>20</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>6</u> X 10 =	<u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	<u>4</u> X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 50)
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<u>          </u>	(max total points possible: 525)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Is the website going to integrate Basin United?

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

KCmm Youth Sports Complex  
Name of Applicant

MATTHEW HAGLER  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>3</u>	X 5 =	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-5) <u>3</u>	X 5 =	<u>15</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)
(1-10) <u>6</u>	X 10 =	<u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>6</u>	X 10 =	<u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-5) <u>3</u>	X <del>5</del> =	<u>15</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 50)
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<u>335</u>	(max total points possible: 525)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ Full

Steens Sports Park  
Name of Applicant

Cynthia Campbell  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 5 = <u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 5 = <u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 10 = <u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 50)
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<u>525</u>	(max total points possible: 525)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

KI. Community Youth Sports  
Name of Applicant

Marguez  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>7</u>	X 5 =	<u>35</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-5) <u>3</u>	X 5 =	<u>15</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-5) <u>3</u>	X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 50)
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<u>420</u>	(max total points possible: 525)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Great facility. Sports events are things people travel for. Lucky to have facility here.

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_