Name of Applicant TripAdvisor Grater Lake Name of Reviewer B. Sullown Sporsasho Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring) **Points** Score Weight 5 How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits? (1-5) ______ 5 Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable? (1-5) _2 2 Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups? (1-5) 💆 1 Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses. (1-5) 4 2 Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective? 50 SUB-TOTAL POINTS: Add Preference Points Shoulder Season - before June and after September 0-10 0-10 **Outlying Areas** Length of Stay - encourage early arrival and/or late departure 0-10 **Family Friendliness** 0-10 **Deduct Penalty Points** Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on -10 time 20 **TOTAL POINTS Reviewer Conflict of Interest:** Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$

Trip	Advisor	
Name of A	pplicant	

Mathew Dodson

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 5	5	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	<u> </u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1	<u> </u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _3_	2	<u>_b</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:	65	
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10			Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10			Outlying Areas
0-10			Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u> </u>	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalt	ty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POIN	TS	_9	_
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:			
			10.00
Do you recom	nmend this pro	ject for funding:	YES I NO Partial funding: \$ 10,000

CONTERLAME KNIK NOVISON

Same of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			(Tourism Review Panel scoring)
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5	20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5 .	<u>15'</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	_8_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1	<u> 4.</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u>3</u>	2	<u> 6:</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:	<u>53</u>	
Add Preferen	ce Points		
0-10		_5'_	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		_5	Outlying Areas
0-10		4	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		r-american de la constante de	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penal	ty Points	20	
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POIN	тѕ	_73	
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inter	est:	
Comments: _	No D	Sudget,	Yorm?
Do you recon	nmend this proj	ject for funding	: ☑ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$
			NA CASTACLE CONTRACTOR

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			(Tourish Review Failer Scotting)
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	2	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2	8	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:		
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10		10	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		10	Outlying Areas
0-10		GHTTOUS TO AND THE APPROXIMATION AND THE	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10			Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalt	ty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POIN	тѕ	84	_
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inter	est:	
Onmana sistem	Klamata	has los	s sucht to 'DUA' Contarlake tourism This
Comments: _	Superb 1	protunita	to direct Chrisitors to KI. Counter.
Big bol	d'more	by DK-	sought to 'OWN' (rater Lake tour ism . This to direct Ch visitors to KI. County. grant # will offset total cost.
	-		
Do you recom	nmend this proj	ect for funding:	YES NO Partial funding: \$ /0/000
			I

DK 1718 ADVISOR

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			(, can all , and a coming)
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 4/	5	10	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	<u>18</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	1	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 3	Bubbant 1	3	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2	8	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:	<u> 38</u>	
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10		10	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		10	Outlying Areas
0-10			Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10			Family Friendliness
<u>Deduct Penalt</u>	ty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINT	тѕ	83	_
Reviewer Co	onflict of Intere	est:	
Comments:	MISSING	BUDGE	PACE PROPEST? IN OPLIGINAL
Do you recom	mend this proj	ect for funding:	▼ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$